



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

[Handwritten signature]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,812	11/04/2003	Urs H. Mader	50T5612.01/1673	6247
24272	7590	11/23/2005	EXAMINER	
Gregory J. Koerner Redwood Patent Law 1291 East Hillsdale Boulevard Suite 205 Foster City, CA 94404			BOATENG, ALEXIS ASIEDUA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/700,812

Applicant(s)

MADER ET AL.

Examiner

Alexis Boateng

Art Unit

2838

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 14-16, 18, 20, 34-36, 38 and 40 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 17, 19, 21-33, 37, 39, 41 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/2004
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2838

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 21, 22, 24, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659).

3. **Regarding claim 1**, Darmawaskita discloses a system for effectively managing operating power for an electronic device comprising:

a battery pack coupled to said electronic device for supplying said operating power to said electronic device (Figure 1, items 112 and 110; column 4 lines 56 through 59; A power converter 110 converts a power source (not illustrated) such as AC mains or a larger battery system such as found in an automobile to a voltage and current appropriate for charging a battery 112.)

a battery controller configured to alternately manage said battery pack in one of a single-cell implementation (Figure 1 item 112) and a dual-cell implementation (Figure 3 item 312a and 312b), said battery controller including a charge pump to provide an internal power supply for operating said battery controller in said single-cell implementation (column 5 lines 31 to 40; when the current and/or voltage inputs 116 are less than the set point(s) from the microprocessor 102, the SMPS controller 104 increases the pulse repetition rate and or duty cycle at the output 114 which causes the power converter 110 to correspondingly increase

Art Unit: 2838

the associated voltage and/or the current to the battery being charged), said charge pump generating said internal power supply at a pre-determined voltage level to supply operating power to said battery controller which responsively executes battery controller instruction to control operations of said battery pack (column 5 lines 22 – 51: the program causes a voltage set point for the controller, when the charging voltage is input in the microcontroller the battery pack's voltage maintained at the certain set point. Column 8 lines 34 through 43: charging voltage is input in the microcontroller).

Regarding claim 2, Darmawaskita discloses wherein said battery controller is implemented as a single-cell integrated circuit device that is selectively configurable to operate in either said single-cell implementation or said dual-cell implementation said battery pack (column 2 lines 10 through 12; Either the single or dual die implementations of the invention may be contained within a single integrated circuit package.).

Regarding claim 4, Darmawaskita discloses wherein said single-cell implementation of said battery pack utilizes a single lithium-ion battery cell, and wherein said dual-cell implementation of said battery pack utilizes two lithium-ion battery cells (column 12 lines 8 through 17; The SMPS controller 104 uses the CVCC (constant voltage with current compliance) mode to control in either the constant voltage or constant current mode. At the beginning of the Li-ion battery charging, the constant current mode is active. Once the battery voltage reaches its maximum voltage, the constant voltage mode will automatically take over,

allowing the charger's firmware algorithm, since the SMPS controller 104 automatically takes care of the most critical step in the Li-ion battery charging process).

Regarding claim 21, Darmawaskita discloses a method for effectively managing operating power for an electronic device comprising the steps of:

Supplying said operating power for said electronic device from a battery pack coupled to said electric device; (Figure 1, items 112 and 110; column 4 lines 56 through 59; A power converter 110 converts a power source (not illustrated) such as AC mains or a larger battery system such as found in an automobile to a voltage and current appropriate for charging a battery 112.)

Managing said battery pack alternately in one of a single-cell implementation (Figure 1 item 112) and a dual-cell implementation (Figure 3 item 312a and 312b), by utilizing a battery controller that includes a charge pump to provide an internal power supply for operating said battery controller in said single-cell implementation (column 5 lines 31 to 40; when the current and/or voltage inputs 116 are less than the setpoint(s) from the microprocessor 102, the SMPS controller 104 increases the pulse repetition rate and or duty cycle at the output 114 which causes the power converter 110 to correspondingly increase the associated voltage and/or the current to the battery being charged), said charge pump generating said internal power supply at a pre-determined voltage level to supply operating power to said battery controller which responsively executes battery controller instruction to control operations of said battery pack (column 5

Art Unit: 2838

lines 22 – 51: the program causes a voltage set point for the controller, when the charging voltage is input in the microcontroller the battery pack's voltage maintained at the certain set point. Column 8 lines 34 through 43: charging voltage is input in the microcontroller).

Regarding claim 22, Darmawaskita discloses wherein said battery controller is implemented as a single integrated circuit device that is selectively configurable to operate in either said single – cell device or said dual-cell implementation of said battery pack (column 1 lines 6 - 7).

Regarding claim 24, Darmawaskita discloses wherein said single-cell implementation of said battery pack utilizes a single lithium-ion battery cell, and wherein said dual-cell implementation of said battery pack utilizes two lithium-ion battery cells (column 12 lines 8 through 17; The SMPS controller 104 uses the CVCC (constant voltage with current compliance) mode to control in either the constant voltage or constant current mode. At the beginning of the Li-ion battery charging, the constant current mode is active. Once the battery voltage reaches its maximum voltage, the constant voltage mode will automatically take over, allowing the charger's firmware algorithm, since the SMPS controller 104 automatically takes care of the most critical step in the Li-ion battery charging process).

Regarding claim 41, Darmawaskita discloses a system for effectively managing operating power for an electronic device comprising:

Art Unit: 2838

a battery pack coupled to said electronic device for supplying said operating power to said electronic device (Figure 1, items 112 and 110; column 4 lines 56 through 59; A power converter 110 converts a power source (not illustrated) such as AC mains or a larger battery system such as found in an automobile to a voltage and current appropriate for charging a battery 112.) and a battery controller configured to alternately manage said battery pack in one of a reduced-cell implementation (Figure 1 item 112) and an increased-cell implementation (Figure 3 item 312a and 312b), said battery controller including a charge pump to provide an internal power supply for operating said battery controller in said reduced-cell implementation (column 5 lines 31 to 40; when the current and/or voltage inputs 116 are less than the setpoint(s) from the microprocessor 102, the SMPS controller 104 increases the pulse repetition rate and or duty cycle at the output 114 which causes the power converter 110 to correspondingly increase the associated voltage and/or the current to the battery being charged).

Regarding claim 42, Darmawaskita discloses a system for effectively managing operating power for an electronic device comprising:

means for supplying said operating power for said electronic device; (Figure 1, items 112 and 110; column 4 lines 56 through 59; A power converter 110 converts a power source (not illustrated) such as AC mains or a larger battery system such as found in an automobile to a voltage and current appropriate for charging a battery 112.) and

Art Unit: 2838

means for alternately managing said battery pack in one of a single-cell implementation (Figure 1 item 112) and a dual-cell implementation (Figure 3 item 312a and 312b), said means for managing including a charge pump to provide an internal controller power supply for operating said means for managing in said single – cell implementation (column 5 lines 31 to 40; when the current and/or voltage inputs 116 are less than the setpoint(s) from the microprocessor 102, the SMPS controller 104 increases the pulse repetition rate and or duty cycle at the output 114 which causes the power converter 110 to correspondingly increase the associated voltage and/or the current to the battery being charged).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 3 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Uskali (U.S. 5,455,499).

Regarding claims 3 and 23, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claims 1 and 21, but does not, expressly disclose the system wherein

said electronic device is implemented as a portable electronic camera device that obtains said operating power from said battery pack, said battery controller providing remaining operating power information regarding said battery pack to said portable electronic camera device. Uskali discloses in figure 1 item 35 wherein, when the battery pack is mounted on the device, which, as disclosed in column 2 lines 41 – 47, may be a camera body. Uskali further discloses in figure 1 item 18 wherein controller provides the power information stored in the device as disclosed in column 4 lines 45-54. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in art to use Darmawaskita's battery system in the portable camera of Isono so as to provide dual cell flexibility. It further would have been obvious to modify Darmawaskita's system and monitor battery status as taught by Isono so as to provide the user with an indication as to when the battery needs recharging or replacement.

6. Claims 5 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmwaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Dunsmore (U.S. 5,389,998).

Regarding claim 5, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose the system of claim 1 wherein said battery pack provides a reduced supply voltage to said battery controller in said single-cell implementation, said charge pump responsively increasing said reduced supply voltage in said single-cell implementation to thereby produce said internal controller power supply for powering said battery controller, said internal controller power supply thus being approximately equal in both said single-cell

Art Unit: 2838

implementation and said dual-cell implementation, said charge pump selectably manipulating said reduced supply voltage by either a unity amplification factor, a 1.5 amplification factor, or times-two amplification factor, depending upon how much said reduced supply voltage needs to be increased to adequately provide said internal controller power supply. Dunsmore discloses in column 4 lines 48 through 55 that the controller 28 receives a signal from the shutter button 34 to end the reduced voltage mode of operation and restore normal operation of the boost circuit 30 and clock circuit. Dunsmore further discloses that the controller 28 activates the boost circuit 30 such that the boost circuit receives three - volt power from the power source 32 and boosts it to six-volt power. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill of the art to construct the camera so that it boosts the voltage because, as stated in column 1 lines 30 through 33, that some of the camera systems can create momentarily large fluctuations in the demand for electrical power from the power supply system, which can reduce the available voltage. Therefore, as stated in column 1 lines 37 to 40, power systems include a boost circuit to amplify the reduced voltage.

Regarding claim 25, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose the system of claim 21 wherein said battery pack provides a reduced supply voltage to said battery controller in said single-cell implementation, said charge pump responsively increasing said reduced supply voltage in said single-cell implementation to thereby produce said

Art Unit: 2838

internal controller power supply for powering said battery controller, said internal controller power supply thus being approximately equal in both said single-cell implementation and said dual-cell implementation, said charge pump selectably manipulating said reduced supply voltage by either a unity amplification factor, a 1.5 amplification factor, or times-two amplification factor, depending upon how much said reduced supply voltage needs to be increased to adequately provide said internal controller power supply. Dunsmore discloses in column 4 lines 48 through 55 that the controller 28 receives a signal from the shutter button 34 to end the reduced voltage mode of operation and restore normal operation of the boost circuit 30 and clock circuit. Dunsmore further discloses that the controller 28 activates the boost circuit 30 such that the boost circuit receives three - volt power from the power source 32 and boosts it to six-volt power. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill of the art to construct the camera so that it boosts the voltage because, as stated in column 1 lines 30 through 33, that some of the camera systems can create momentarily large fluctuations in the demand for electrical power from the power supply system, which can reduce the available voltage. Therefore, as stated in column 1 lines 37 to 40, power systems include a boost circuit to amplify the reduced voltage.

7. Claims 6 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view Sato (U.S. 5,985,480).

Regarding claim 6, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose the system wherein said battery pack has zero microamps of leakage current in a shutdown mode in which said battery pack has been discharged to a pre-determined threshold voltage level. Sato discloses in column 8 lines 4 through 10 that based on the level of at least 75% of the remaining capacity ration after being left as 45 C for a month of the single cells of the sealed alkaline storage battery of nickel-metal hydride system used in this experiment, it can be judged that entirely no leakage of current has taken place in the assembled batteries according to the present invention. At the time invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to design the system where the battery pack has zero microamps of leakage current so that the battery's energy is not unnecessarily depleted during storage.

Regarding claim 26, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose the system wherein said battery pack has zero microamps of leakage current in a shutdown mode in which said battery pack has been discharged to a pre-determined threshold voltage level. Sato discloses in column 8 lines 4 through 10 that based on the level of at least 75% of the remaining capacity ration after being left as 45 C for a month of the single cells of the sealed alkaline storage battery of nickel-metal hydride system used in this experiment, it can be judged that entirely no leakage of current has taken place in the assembled batteries according to the present invention. At the time invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 2838

design the system where the battery pack has zero microamps of leakage current so that the battery's energy is not unnecessarily depleted during shutdown or storage modes.

8. Claims 7, 8, 27, and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Hiratsuka (U.S. 5,680,027).

Regarding claim 7 and 27, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1 and 21, but does not expressly disclose the system wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said charge switch being opened by a CPU of said battery controller to prevent an overcharge condition in said battery cells.

Hiratsuka discloses in figure 2 items s1 and s2, discharge switches that are connected in series configuration. Hiratsuka further discloses in column 4 lines 1 through 2 that the switch S1, which is turned on during the charging operation of the battery main unit and in column 4 lines 11 through 2 that the switch S2, which is turned on during the discharging of the battery main unit. Hiratsuka further discloses in column 4 lines 43 through 47 the micro-computer 11, controlling the charging/discharging of the battery main unit 4 and the protective circuit inclusive of the analog circuit 12, has a plural input terminals, that is terminals controlling switches S1 to S4. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a

person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the switches to be controlled by the CPU so that, as stated in column 5 lines 3 through 11, switches S1 or S2 are halted to prevent excessive charging or discharging.

Regarding claim 8 and 28, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1 and 21, but does not, expressly disclose the system wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said discharge switch being opened by a CPU of said battery controller to prevent an overdischarge condition in said battery cells. Hiratsuka discloses in figure 2 items s1 and s2, discharge switches that are connected in series configuration. Hiratsuka further discloses in column 4 lines 1 through 2 that the switch S1, which is turned on during the charging operation of the battery main unit and in column 4 lines 11 through 2 that the switch S2, which is turned on during the discharging of the battery main unit. Hiratsuka further discloses in column 4 lines 43 through 47 the micro-computer 11, controlling the charging/discharging of the battery main unit 4 and the protective circuit inclusive of the analog circuit 12, has a plural input terminals, that is terminals controlling switches S1 to S4. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the switches to be controlled by the CPU so that, as stated in column 5 lines 3 through 11, switches S1 or S2 are halted to prevent excessive charging or discharging.

Art Unit: 2838

9. Claim 9 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Sasaki (U.S. 6,335,611).

Regarding claim 9, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said battery controller including an internal negative charge pump that generates a negative charge pump output voltage to a charge amplifier and a discharge amplifier that may then each generate a sufficient enhancement voltage to fully turn on a corresponding one of said charge switch and said discharge switch.

Sasaki discloses in column 3, lines 33 to 34 that a switch 12 is used for conducting or interrupting a charging/discharging current route of the battery 13. Sasaki further discloses in column 3 lines 34 to 42 that when the comparator 17 (which is understood to be used as a form of a charge pump), has detected that a large charging current or a large discharging has exceeded a monitoring range, the controller 11 lower the amplification degree of the amplifier to make it possible to monitor a larger current than the monitoring range. When the comparator detects that a predetermined excess charging/discharging current is flowing, the controller 11 interrupts a discharging route of the switch 12. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the battery controller to control the charge and discharge switches because, as stated in column 3 lines 47 through 51, the amplifier can detect a

Art Unit: 2838

charging/discharging of a small current and when the comparator has detected an excess- charging or excess discharging current, the switch can protect the battery.

Regarding claim 29, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said battery controller including an internal negative charge pump that generates a negative charge pump output voltage to a charge amplifier and a discharge amplifier that may then each generate a sufficient enhancement voltage to fully turn on a corresponding one of said charge switch and said discharge switch.

Sasaki discloses in column 3, lines 33 to 34 that a switch 12 is used for conducting or interrupting a charging/discharging current route of the battery 13.

Sasaki further discloses in column 3 lines 34 to 42 that when the comparator 17 (which is understood to be used as a form of a charge pump), has detected that a large charging current or a large discharging has exceeded a monitoring range,

the controller 11 lower the amplification degree of the amplifier to make it possible to monitor a larger current than the monitoring range. When the comparator detects that a predetermined excess charging/discharging current is flowing, the controller 11 interrupts a discharging route of the switch 12. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the battery controller to control the charge and discharge switches

Art Unit: 2838

because, as stated in column 3 lines 47 through 51, the amplifier can detect a charging/discharging of a small current and when the comparator has detected an excess- charging or excess discharging current, the switch can protect the battery.

10. Claim 10 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Sasaki (U.S. 6,335,611), in view of Drake (U.S. 6,339,413).

Regarding claim 10, Darmawaskita and Sasaki discloses the system of claim 1 and the system of claim 9, but does not expressly disclose wherein said internal negative charge pump includes a first phase- 1 switch and a second phase-I switch that are closed to charge a capacitor with a charge pump output voltage from said charge pump, said internal negative charge pump subsequently closing a first phase- 0 switch and a second phase-o switch to provide said negative charge pump output voltage from said internal negative charge pump to both said charge amplifier and said discharge amplifier. Drake discloses in figure 5A switches that work in phases to charge capacitors from the charge pump output voltage. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art have the charge pump include phase switches that close at different intervals of time so that, as recited by Drake in column 8 line 47, the desired voltage levels of the charge pump 75 are achieved.

Art Unit: 2838

Regarding claim 30, Darmawaskita and Sasaki discloses the method of claim 21 and the system of claim 29, but does not expressly disclose wherein said internal negative charge pump includes a first phase- 1 switch and a second phase-I switch that are closed to charge a capacitor with a charge pump output voltage from said charge pump, said internal negative charge pump subsequently closing a first phase- 0 switch and a second phase-o switch to provide said negative charge pump output voltage from said internal negative charge pump to both said charge amplifier and said discharge amplifier. Drake discloses in figure 5A switches that work in phases to charge capacitors from the charge pump output voltage. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art have the charge pump include phase switches that close at different intervals of time so that, as recited by Drake in column 8 line 47, the desired voltage levels of the charge pump 75 are achieved.

11. Claims 11, 12, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Sakurai (U.S. 6,340,889).

Regarding claim 11, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said charge switch and said discharge switch being implemented as P-channel field-effect transistors that are located in a positive charge path between said

Art Unit: 2838

battery charger and said one or more battery cells of said battery pack. Sakurai discloses in figure 1 items, 12 and 13 and in column 4 lines 3 through 8 that both of the switch devices 12 and 13 may instead be connected between the negative pole of the secondary battery 10 and the sense resistor 11. Sakurai further discloses that the kind (N-channel or P-channel) of switch device (e.g., the FET) would need to be suitably changed in correspondence to the circuit configuration. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a field effect transistor for the switch because it can switch signals of either polarity when necessary.

Regarding claim 12, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said charge switch and said discharge switch being implemented as N-channel field-effect transistors that are located in a positive charge path between said battery charger and said one or more battery cells of said battery pack. Sakurai discloses in figure 1 items, 12 and 13 and in column 4 lines 3 through 8 that both of the switch devices 12 and 13 may instead be connected between the negative pole of the secondary battery 10 and the sense resistor 11. Sakurai further discloses that the kind (N-channel or P-channel) of switch device (e.g., the FET) would need to be suitably changed in correspondence to the circuit configuration. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill

in the art to use a field effect transistor for the switch because it can switch signals of either polarity when necessary.

Regarding claim 31, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said charge switch and said discharge switch being implemented as P-channel field-effect transistors that are located in a positive charge path between said battery charger and said one or more battery cells of said battery pack. Sakurai discloses in figure 1 items, 12 and 13 and in column 4 lines 3 through 8 that both of the switch devices 12 and 13 may instead be connected between the negative pole of the secondary battery 10 and the sense resistor 11. Sakurai further discloses that the kind (N-channel or P-channel) of switch device (e.g., the FET) would need to be suitably changed in correspondence to the circuit configuration. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a field effect transistor for the switch because it can switch signals of either polarity when necessary.

Regarding claim 32, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack includes a charge switch and a discharge switch that are connected in a series configuration between a battery charger and one or more battery cells of said battery pack, said charge switch and said discharge switch being implemented as N-channel

Art Unit: 2838

field-effect transistors that are located in a positive charge path between said battery charger and said one or more battery cells of said battery pack. Sakurai discloses in figure 1 items, 12 and 13 and in column 4 lines 3 through 8 that both of the switch devices 12 and 13 may instead be connected between the negative pole of the secondary battery 10 and the sense resistor 11. Sakurai further discloses that the kind (N-channel or P-channel) of switch device (e.g., the FET) would need to be suitably changed in correspondence to the circuit configuration. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a field effect transistor for the switch because it can switch signals of either polarity when necessary.

12. Claims 13, 17, 33, and 37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Piercey (U.S. 5,557,188).

Regarding claim 13, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack is implemented in said single-cell implementation, said battery controller coordinating a startup procedure for said battery pack during which a battery charger is connected to said battery pack in a discharged state, said battery controller responsively closing a charge switch that is coupled between said battery charger and a battery cell of said battery pack. Piercey discloses in column 8 lines 23 through 27 that the battery controller 355 automatically turns on transistor 382 and thus reconnects battery 353 to terminal 351 once the input

charge voltage is within the acceptable operating range, and the battery system is reset upon receipt of a BATTIN signal from an external system. The transistor is understood as a charge switch that is turned on by battery controller and is coupled between the battery charger and battery cell. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art to have the battery controller close the charge switch to monitor the discharge and prevent overdischarge.

Regarding claim 17, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose wherein an analog-to-digital converter module of said battery controller monitors a battery voltage of said battery pack as it discharges while supplying said operating power to said electronic device, a CPU of said battery controller controlling a shutdown procedure for said battery pack when a pre-determined discharged voltage level is sensed by said analog-to-digital converter module, said CPU responsively opening a discharge switch in said battery pack. Piercey discloses in column 5, lines 46 through 51 that the voltage of battery 353 is also applied to an analog-to-digital converter input lead of battery controller 355, thereby allowing digital processing of the charge/discharge current of battery 353 as measured by the voltage across resistive device 359, and digital processing of voltage battery 353. Piercey further discloses in column 7, lines 38 to 41 that the discharge of battery 351 at voltages below cutoff is preferably not allowed in order to avoid battery degradation and to achieve maximum battery life and charge/discharge cycles.

Art Unit: 2838

He further discloses in column 7 lines 47 to 53 that the battery controller 355 is able to determine the charge state of battery 353 by monitoring the voltage of battery 353 and the charge current over time, in order to determine when battery 353 has reached such usable recharge level prior to allowing transistors 381 and 382 to conduct current from battery 353 to power a system. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to someone skilled in the art that using an analog to digital converter for an input lead of the battery controller because it allows an efficient digital processing of the charge/discharge current of the battery as stated in column 5 lines 48- 49.

Regarding claim 33, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery pack is implemented in said single-cell implementation, said battery controller coordinating a startup procedure for said battery pack during which a battery charger is connected to said battery pack in a discharged state, said battery controller responsively closing a charge switch that is coupled between said battery charger and a battery cell of said battery pack. Piercey discloses in column 8 lines 23 through 27 that the battery controller 355 automatically turns on transistor 382 and thus reconnects battery 353 to terminal 351 once the input charge voltage is within the acceptable operating range, and the battery system is reset upon receipt of a BATTIN signal from an external system. The transistor is understood as a charge switch that is turned on by battery controller and is coupled between the battery charger and battery cell. At the time of invention, it

Art Unit: 2838

would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art to have the battery controller close the charge switch to monitor the discharge and prevent overdischarge.

Regarding claim 37, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose wherein an analog-to-digital converter module of said battery controller monitors a battery voltage of said battery pack as it discharges while supplying said operating power to said electronic device, a CPU of said battery controller controlling a shutdown procedure for said battery pack when a pre-determined discharged voltage level is sensed by said analog-to-digital converter module, said CPU responsively opening a discharge switch in said battery pack. Piercey discloses in column 5, lines 46 through 51 that the voltage of battery 353 is also applied to an analog-to-digital converter input lead of battery controller 355, thereby allowing digital processing of the charge/discharge current of battery 353 as measured by the voltage across resistive device 359, and digital processing of voltage battery 353. Piercey further discloses in column 7, lines 38 to 41 that the discharge of battery 351 at voltages below cutoff is preferably not allowed in order to avoid battery degradation and to achieve maximum battery life and charge/discharge cycles. He further discloses in column 7 lines 47 to 53 that the battery controller 355 is able to determine the charge state of battery 353 by monitoring the voltage of battery 353 and the charge current over time, in order to determine when battery 353 has reached such usable recharge level prior to allowing transistors 381 and

Art Unit: 2838

382 to conduct current from battery 353 to power a system. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to someone skilled in the art that using and analog to digital converter for an input lead of the battery controller because it allows an efficient digital processing of the charge/discharge current of the battery as stated in column 5 lines 48- 49.

13. Claims 19 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darmawaskita (U.S. 6,184,659) in view of Nelson et al. (U.S. 6,098,095)

Regarding claim 19, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 1, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery controller includes a UART device that is implemented to communicate with said electronic device via a single transmit/receive pin on an integrated circuit device that contains said battery controller, said UART thus supporting a single-pin UART interface to conserve available connection pins on said integrated circuit device of said battery controller. Nelson discloses in column 4 lines 10 through 14 that for effective communication, both microprocessors 12 and 32 must be equipped with compatible communication software and appropriate hardware as needed to enable communication over a single I/O path. Nelson further discloses in column 4 lines 18 through 21 that a UART system can be implemented by the use of stand alone parts and rely only on a single I/O pin. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to someone skilled in the art that using a UART device is more beneficial because, as stated in column 1 lines 61 through 64, they can

Art Unit: 2838

mate and work effectively with their single-signal, single axis counterparts, an thereby provide "backward compatibility."

Regarding claim 39, Darmawaskita discloses the claimed invention as defined in claim 21, but does not expressly disclose wherein said battery controller includes a UART device that is implemented to communicate with said electronic device via a single transmit/receive pin on an integrated circuit device that contains said battery controller, said UART thus supporting a single-pin UART interface to conserve available connection pins on said integrated circuit device of said battery controller. Nelson discloses in column 4 lines 10 through 14 that for effective communication, both microprocessors 12 and 32 must be equipped with compatible communication software and appropriate hardware as needed to enable communication over a single I/O path. Nelson further discloses in column 4 lines 18 through 21 that a UART system can be implemented by the use of stand alone parts and rely only on a single I/O pin. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to someone skilled in the art that using a UART device is more beneficial because, as stated in column 1 lines 61 through 64, they can mate and work effectively with their single-signal, single axis counterparts, an thereby provide "backward compatibility."

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed on September 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant amended claims 1 and 21 by adding, wherein "said charge pump generating said internal power supply at a pre-determined voltage level to supply operating power to said battery controller which responsively executes battery controller instruction to control operations of said battery pack." In spite of the changes, claim is rejected under the prior art of Darmawaskita, as written previously.

15. Applicant's arguments filed September 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant discloses in the third paragraph of page 18 wherein claim 42, "means plus function" language is utilized. As discussed above in claims 1 and 21, Darmawaskita teaches the methods of claim 42.

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 3 and 23 have been rejected under new grounds of rejection as being anticipated by Darmawaskita in view of Uskali as disclosed above.

17. In response to applicant's argument that examiner has failed to make a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) in claims 5 – 13, 17, 19, 25 – 33, 37, and 39 the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have

Art Unit: 2838

suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

18. Applicant's arguments, see page 29, filed on September 29, 2005 with respect to claims 14 – 16, 18, 20, 34 – 36, 38, and 40 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claims 14 – 16, 18, 20, 34 – 36, 38, and 40 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kawamura (U.S. 5,784,105) teaches a video camera with a built-in secondary battery with a terminal used for connection with an external power source. The video camera is arranged in such that the circuit part is connected to the built – in secondary battery or to the external power source when the main switch is closed and that the built in secondary battery can be connected to the external power source when the main switch is open (see Figures 1 and 2; Abstract lines 1 through 2 and 5 through 10).


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexis Boateng whose telephone number is (571) 272-5979. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday - Friday.

Art Unit: 2838

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB


KARL D. EASTHOM
PRIMARY EXAMINER